

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/849,085	(05/18/2004	Garry Tsaur	5652		
29745	7590	03/16/2005		EXAMINER		
JOE NIEH			SIPOS, JOHN			
18760 E. AMAR ROAD #204 WALNUT, CA 91789				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		·-		3721		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/849,085	TSAUR, GARRY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Sipos	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 May 2004 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	is have been received. Is have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/849,085

Art Unit: 3721

REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS BASED ON FORMAL MATTERS

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. '112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 12-14 are dependent on and duplicate of claims 8-10 and similarly claims 15-16 are dependent on claims 8-14 and are duplicates of claims 8-10 and 12-14.

REJECTIONS OF CLAIMS BASED ON PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. '103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Seifert (5,035,348). The patent to Seifert shows the forming of a fluid dispenser comprising heat-sealing one end of the tube 14, filling the tube, sealing the other end of the tube 17 and affixing an applicator 32 at one end of the tube (column 2, line 62 et seq.).

The use of fixtures to hold a plurality of containers (claim 1); the removal of excess liquid from a container (claims 3 and 5), more than one substance in a single container (claim 4-

Application/Control Number: 10/849,085

Art Unit: 3721

7) and the centrifuge of a container (claims 6 and 7) are well known in the art and the Examiner takes official notice that their use is common knowledge in the packaging art. In the above cases the modification of the Seifert operation would have been obvious to one skilled in the art for the known benefits of each modification. For example, the use of fixture allows the handling of more than one container; removing excess liquid allows the forming of a better seal at the container end; the use of more than one substance permits the packaging of mixable products; and centrifuge of the container permits the mixing of the container.

Claim 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Seifert (5,035,348) in view of Baibridge (1,641,408). The patent to Seifert lacks the use of score line but does disclose the use different container materials as weakening means to ease the opening of the container (see column 3, line 58 et seq.). The patent to Bainbridge shows the use of score line 3 in a small container that permits the user to easily open the container. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the score line opening means of Bainbridge for the opening means of Seifert in order to simplify the opening mechanism and not require different materials for different parts of the container.

Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Seifert (5,035,348) in view of Baibridge (1,641,408).

The removal of excess liquid from a container (claims 3 and 5), more than one substance in a single container (claim 4-7) and the centrifuge of a container (claims 6 and 7) are well known in the art and the Examiner takes official notice that their use is common knowledge in the packaging art. In the above cases the modification of the Seifert operation would have been obvious to one skilled in the art for the known benefits of each modification. For example,

Application/Control Number: 10/849,085

Art Unit: 3721

removing excess liquid allows the forming of a better seal at the container end; the use of more than one substance permits the packaging of mixable products; and centrifuge of the container permits the mixing of the container.

Claims 8-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. '103(a) as being unpatentable over the patent to Seifert (5,035,348).

The removal of excess liquid from a container (claims 3 and 5), more than one substance in a single container (claim 4-7) and the centrifuge of a container (claims 6 and 7) are well known in the art and the Examiner takes official notice that their use is common knowledge in the packaging art. In the above cases the modification of the Seifert operation would have been obvious to one skilled in the art for the known benefits of each modification. For example, removing excess liquid allows the forming of a better seal at the container end; the use of more than one substance permits the packaging of mixable products; and centrifuge of the container permits the mixing of the container.

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES CITED

The cited prior art is made of record but has not been relied upon in the rejection of claims. However, the prior art is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Note the patent to Brown shows a process of handling of small tubes comprising sealing one end of a tube (Fig 1A), filling the tube with a pharmaceutical (Fig. 1C) and sealing the other end of the tube (Fig. 1D and E) to form a dispensing opening.

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to **Examiner John Sipos** at telephone number **571-272-4468**. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at 571-272-4467.

The FAX number for Group 3700 of the Patent and Trademark Office is (703) 872-9306.

John Sipos

Primary Examiner

Art-Finit 3721